Comments on the 3 floor plans for meeting 18 May 2016

Contributions by Mark White, Paul Shupenko, Sheryl Jakubiak, Peter Freeman

Option A:

- No meaningful assessment of the floor plans can be made without details of individual room dimensions.
- Why so many bathrooms? Shelter, who pays?
- The café area is clearly too small. This area, apart from being a café needs to be used as a social area, a waiting area for Dial-a-Ride bus and for services such as the trip desk. The front needs to be extended out to be parallel to the front line of the office area on option A. The width of this extension needs to include the width of the kitchen.
- The two main entrance doors need to be separated and individually operated to avoid the massive influx of intemperate external air such as occurs in the old building.
- The office area needs 4 enclosed offices. Assuming for financial services. Looks like a lot of staff space.
- Why is there a staff lounge? Why a waiting room in staff office? Outdoor waiting room?
- Consider having a single multi-sex staff toilet in the staff area.
- The game room needs a separator between the pool and ping-pong areas.
- Where is the room for woodwork, ceramics and stained glass activities?
- The computer lab (Tech 1?) needs a secure walk-in closet at least as large as in the old building with space for a wiring area. Note that the public area WiFi and computer network are totally separate from the office network system. It is not unusual to have over 60 concurrent devices on the Computer Lab network in the existing building.

Option B thoughts: (Some of above comments apply)

- Café, where is kitchen? Fridge?
- Staff lounge?
- Staff tech?
- Multi function rooms where are restrooms for them?

Option C thoughts:

- A significant proportion of Calendar House members have ambulatory issues. How will such people exit the upper floor on option C in an emergency?
- Senior access issues
- Bottleneck
- All classrooms upstairs
- Elevators very expensive